arXiv:hep-ph/9212290v1 21 Dec 1992

ON-MASS-SHELL RENORMALIZATION OF THE $\langle \bar{t}t \rangle$ CONTRIBUTION TO THE $\Delta I = 1/2$ $s \leftrightarrow d$ SELF-ENERGY TRANSITION

M.R. AHMADY

International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Miramare P.O. Box 586, 34100 Trieste, Italy

V. ELIAS and N.C.A. HILL

Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario N6A 5B7, Canada

ABSTRACT

In $\langle \bar{t}t \rangle$-scenarios for dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking, the presence of a very large t-quark condensate necessarily generates an $s \leftrightarrow d$ self-energy transition which will contribute exclusively to $\Delta I = 1/2$ strangeness-changing matrix elements. This contribution is calculated and compared to the purely perturbative contribution, which is well-known to be far too small to have an appreciable effect on $\Delta I = 1/2$ strangeness-changing matrix elements after mass-shell renormalization subtractions are taken into account. The ratio between the t-quark condensate's contribution and the standard-model perturbative contribution to the mass-shell renormalized $s \leftrightarrow d$ self-energy transition is shown to be of order $\mid m_t \langle \bar{t}t \rangle \mid / M_W^4$, suggesting that the large t-quark condensate proposed for electroweak symmetry breaking may also be responsible for the $\Delta I = 1/2$ enhancement of strangeness-changing decays.

If electroweak symmetry breaking is driven dynamically by a t-quark condensate $\langle \bar{t}t \rangle$, such a condensate would necessarily have an enormous magnitude. A symmetry-breaking scenario based upon a Nambu-Jona-Lasinio mechanism would suggest a condensate of magnitude $-m_t \Lambda^2$, where Λ, the NJL cutoff, is certainly larger than m_t and may even correspond to an order-Planck-mass unification mass scale.[1,2] Such a large condensate has already been shown not to alter salient electroweak results, such as the less-than-1% deviation of the electroweak ρ-parameter from unity.[1] Nevertheless, it is of genuine importance to examine how such a very large condensate of *standard- model* fermions, as opposed to technifermions outside the purview of CKM mixing, might enter other electroweak results. For example, the presence of a very large t-quark condensate ($\langle \bar{t}t \rangle$) necessarily generates an $s \leftrightarrow d$ self-energy transition (via $\bar{t}s$ and $\bar{s}t$ CKM mixing angles[3]) which will contribute exclusively to $\Delta I = 1/2$ strangeness -changing matrix elements.

Of course, there already exists a purely perturbative $s \leftrightarrow d$ self-energy transition within the standard model, but this contribution is known to be two to three orders of magnitude too small to have an appreciable effect on $\Delta I = 1/2$ strangeness-changing matrix elements after mass-shell renormalization subtractions are taken into account.[4] In unitary gauge, the unrenormalized $s \leftrightarrow d$ self-energy amplitude is of the form

$$\Sigma_{ds}(p) = H(p^2) \not{p} (1 - \gamma_5), \tag{1}$$

where $H(p^2)$ contains both constant and momentum-dependent terms (the former being divergent). However, the on-mass-shell renormalization conditions $\bar{u}_d(p)[\Sigma_{ds}(p)]^{ren} = 0, [\Sigma_{ds}(p)]^{ren} u_s(p) = 0$, provide sufficient information to determine algebraically the four allowed counterterms contributing to $[\Sigma_{ds}(p)]_{ren} = \Sigma_{ds}(p) + A \not{p}(1-\gamma_s) + B \not{p}(1+\gamma_s) + C + D\gamma_s$.[5] If we approximate $H(p^2)$ with $H(0) + p^2 H'(0)$, we find that[4]

$$\Sigma_{ds}(p)^{ren} = H'(0)\{[p^2 - m_s^2 - m_d^2] \not{p}(1-\gamma_s) + m_s m_d [-\not{p}(1+\gamma_5) + (m_s + m_d) - \gamma_s (m_s - m_d)]\}, \tag{2}$$

where the t-quark's Fig. 1 (f1) contribution is given by[4]

$$[H'(0)]_{f1} = (G_F/\sqrt{2})(V_{td})^* V_{ts} (B_t + 5/12)/4\pi^2, \tag{3}$$

with B_t between -1.0 and -1.3 for m_t between 1.5 M_W and 2.0 M_W. Using the present empirical range of CKM mixing angles $[0.003 \leq |V_{td}| \leq 0.018, 0.030 \leq |V_{ts}| \leq 0.054]$,[6] we see that $1 \cdot 10^{-11} GeV^{-2} \leq [H'(0)]_{f1} \leq 2 \cdot 10^{-10} GeV^{-2}$. The renormalized transition amplitude (2) can be incorporated into the $\Delta I = 1/2$ component of the $K_s \to 2\pi$ amplitude,

denoted by [4,7]

$$a_{1/2} = 3\sqrt{2} g_{Kqq}(m_s - m_d) M^4 (1 - m_\pi^2/m_K^2) H'(0)/(64\pi^2 f_\pi^2) \tag{4}$$

where M is a phenomenological cut-off of order 2 GeV and $g_{Kqq} \approx 3.8$. Using (3) and (4) we find the t-quark's purely perturbative contribution to $a_{1/2}$ to be between $2 \cdot 10^{-9}$ and $9 \cdot 10^{-11}$ GeV, a number at least two orders of magnitude smaller than the experimental value ($3.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$GeV) reflecting the enhancement of the $\Delta I = 1/2$ component of strangeness-changing nonleptonic decays. A more careful treatment[8] of the hadronization of $\Sigma_{ds}(p)^{ren}$, based upon a direct comparison of the weak kaon axial-vector current $-i \int d^4x e^{iq\cdot x} \langle 0|T[A_\mu^3(x) H_W^{\Delta S=1}]|\bar{K}^0\rangle$ to the strong-interaction axial-vector current $i\sqrt{2} f_K q_\mu = -i \int d^4x e^{iq\cdot x} \langle 0|A_\mu^{6+i7}(x)|\bar{K}^0\rangle$, leads to virtually the same conclusions: $[H'(0)]_{f1}$ is between two and four orders of magnitude too small to account for the measured $K \to 2\pi$ decay rate.

If electroweak symmetry breaking is caused by condensation of a t- quark-antiquark pair, residual contributions to the Wick-Dyson expansion of Feynman amplitudes involving the vacuum expectation values of normal ordered t-quark and antiquark fields are no longer seen to vanish. The presence of such contributions is entirely analogous to how $\langle u\bar{u}\rangle$ and $\langle d\bar{d}\rangle$ condensates characterizing the chiral- symmetry breakdown of QCD enter Feynman amplitudes that are utilized in the construction of QCD sum-rules.[8,9] In particular, the unitary gauge contribution of Fig. 2, corresponding to replacing the time ordered vacuum expectation value of interaction-picture t-quark fields $\langle 0|T[t(x)\bar{t}(0)]|0\rangle$ with the corresponding normal-ordered contribution $\langle 0| : t(x)\bar{t}(0) : |0\rangle$ in the Wick-Dyson expansion of $\langle 0|T[t_H(x)\bar{t}_H(0)]|0\rangle$ [the fermion two-point function of Heisenberg fields], is seen to be

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{ds}(p) &= \frac{G_F M_W^2}{\sqrt{2}} V_{td}^* V_{ts} \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4x e^{i(p-q)\cdot x} \\ &\times \left[\frac{g^{\mu\nu} - q^\mu q^\nu / M_W^2}{q^2 - M_W^2}\right] \gamma_\mu (1-\gamma_5) \langle 0| : t(x)\bar{t}(0) : |0\rangle \gamma_\nu (1-\gamma_5). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The $\langle \bar{t}t\rangle$ projection of $\langle 0| : t(x)\bar{0}(x) : |0\rangle$ is given by[10]

$$\langle 0| : t(x)\bar{t}(0) : |0\rangle = -\frac{\langle \bar{t}t\rangle}{6m_t^2} (i\gamma\cdot\partial + m_t)[J_1(m_t\sqrt{x^2})/\sqrt{x^2}]. \tag{6}$$

The theoretical consistency of eq. (6) has been examined in a number of places. Insertion of this vacuum expectation value into flavour- diagonal fermion two-point functions has been shown to uphold the gauge invariance of the fermion propagator pole in both covariant [11] and noncovariant [12] gauges, despite strong overall

gauge dependence of the fermion self-energy. Moreover, inclusion of the $\langle \bar{f} f \rangle$ projection of the $\langle 0| : f(x)\bar{f}(y) : |0\rangle$ contribution to the Wick-Dyson expansion of the vacuum polarization of a vector-coupled spin-1 field has been shown to yield only transverse contributions, consistent with gauge invariance and the vector- current Ward identity.[13] Similar inclusion of the $\langle \bar{f} f \rangle$ projection of the $\langle 0| : f(x)\bar{f}(y) : |0\rangle$-contributions to the Wick-Dyson expansion of the VVA-triangle amplitude has also been demonstrated to uphold the anomalous axial-vector Ward identity.[14]

Equation (6) may be re-expressed as follows:[15]

$$\langle 0| : t(x)\bar{t}(0) : |0\rangle \equiv \int d^4k e^{-ik\cdot x}(\not{k} + m_t)F_t(k), \tag{7}$$

$$\int d^4k e^{-ik\cdot x}F_t(k) = -\langle \bar{t}t\rangle J_1(m_t\sqrt{x^2})/(6m_t^2\sqrt{x^2}), \tag{8}$$

$$\int d^4k e^{-ik\cdot x}g(k^2)F_t(k) = \int d^4k e^{-ik\cdot x}g(m_t^2)F_t(k), \tag{9}$$

where the last property [eq. (9)] is a consequence of $\langle 0| : t(x)\bar{t}(0) : |0\rangle$ being a solution of the free-field Dirac equation. One finds from Eq.(5) that the coefficient $H(p^2)$ in the unrenormalized Fig. 2 (f2) self-energy (1) is given by

$$[H(p^2)]_{f2} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}M_W^2 V_{td}^* V_{ts}\left\{\left(-4 - \frac{2(m_t^2+p^2)}{M_W^2}\right)\left[\frac{\langle \bar{t}t\rangle}{24m_t p^2} + \frac{p^2+m_t^2-M_W^2}{2p^2}I(p^2)\right] + \frac{4m_t^2}{M_W^2}I(p^2)\right\}, \tag{10}$$

$$I(p^2) = \int d^4k F_t(k)/[(p-k)^2 - M_W^2 + i\epsilon]. \tag{11}$$

The integral in Eq. (11) may be evaluated first by exponentiating the propagator and through utilization of Eqs. (9) and (8):[15]

$$\begin{aligned} I(p^2) &= -i\int_0^\infty d\eta e^{i\eta(p^2+m_t^2-M_W^2+i\epsilon)}\int d^4k e^{-i(2\eta p)\cdot k}F_t(k) \\ &= \frac{i\langle \bar{t}t\rangle}{6m_t^2}\int_0^\infty d\eta\, exp[i\eta(p^2+m_t^2-M_W^2+i\epsilon)]\frac{J_1(2m_t\eta\sqrt{p^2})}{2\eta\sqrt{p^2}} \\ &= -\frac{\langle \bar{t}t\rangle}{24m_t^3p^2}\left[(p^2+m_t^2-M_W^2) - \sqrt{(p^2-m_t^2)^2 - M_W^2(2p^2+2m_t^2-M_W^2)}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where the final line of Eq.(12) is obtained by use of a tabulated integral.[16] Substitution of the final line of (12) into (10) reveals that the fig. 2 contribution to $H(p^2)$ is analytic at $p^2 = 0$:

$$[H(p^2)]_{f2} = [H(0)]_{f2} + p^2[H'(0)]_{f2}, \tag{13}$$

$$[H(0)]_{f2} = -\frac{G_F V_{td}^* V_{ts} \langle \bar{t}t \rangle}{4\sqrt{2}(m_t^2 - M_W^2)^2}[1 - 7M_W^2/3m_t^2 - 2M_W^4/3m_t^4], \tag{14}$$

$$[H'(0)]_{f2} = \frac{G_F V_{td}^* V_{ts} \langle \bar{t}t \rangle m_t^5}{12\sqrt{2}(m_t^2 - M_W^2)^4}[1 - 4M_W^2/m_t^2 + 9M_W^4/m_t^4]. \tag{15}$$

The relative contributions of Fig. 2 and Fig. 1 to the on-shell- renormalized self-energy transition, as defined by (2), may be obtained via direct comparison of Eqs. (3) and (15). One finds for m_t between 120 GeV and 160 GeV that $|12m_t\langle \bar{t}t \rangle/M_W^4| \geq |[H'(0)]_{f2}/[H'(0)]_{f1}| \geq |0.4m_t\langle \bar{t}t \rangle/M_W^4|$. As remarked earlier, the Fig. 1 contribution $[H'(0)]_{f1}$ to the $\Delta I = 1/2$ component of the $K \to 2\pi$ decay amplitude is between two and four orders of magnitude below the experimental value. Consequently, we see that the Fig. 2 contribution $[H'(0)]_{f2}$ yields a contribution *comparable to the experimental ampitude* provided $\langle \bar{t}t \rangle \sim m_t^3$ for maximal mixing angles and $m_t \approx 120GeV$. For minimal mixing angles and $m_t \approx 160GeV$, the value $\langle \bar{t}t \rangle \sim (10m_t)^3$ is compatible with the experimental $K \to 2\pi$ decay rate.

These results suggest, within the context of t-quark-condensate driven electroweak symmetry breaking, that the large magnitude of the t-quark condensate may be responsible for the $\Delta I = 1/2$ rule. However, these results appear to rule out any sensitivity of the t-quark condensate to Planck-mass unification scales.

References

1. V.A. Miransky, M. Tanabishi and K. Yamawaki, *Phys. Lett.* **B221** (1989) 177.
2. R.R. Mendel,Massachusetts Institute of Technology Ph.D. Thesis, 1982 (unpublished); W.A. Bardeen, C.T. Hill and M. Lindner,*Phys. Rev.* **D41** (1990) 1647.
3. M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **49** (1973) 652.
4. S.-P. Chia *Phys. Lett.* **B147**(1984) 361.
5. N.G. Deshpande and G. Eilam *Phys. Rev.* **D26** (1982) 2463.
6. K. Hikasa et. al. (Particle Data Group), *Phys. Rev.* **D45** (1992) S1.
7. B.H.J. McKellar and M.D. Scadron, *Phys. Rev.* **D27** (1983) 157.
8. V. Elias, R.R. Mendel and M.D. Scadron, *Phys. Rev.* **D42** (1990) 1591.
9. M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein and V.I. Zakharov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B147** (1979) 385 and 448; P. Pascual and R. Tarrach, *Renormalization for the Practitioner* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984), pp. 155-191.
10. V. Elias, T.G. Steele and M.D. Scadron, *Phys. Rev.* **D38** (1989) 1584; E. Bagan, J.I. Latorre and P. Pascual, *Z. Phys.* **C32** (1986) 43; F.J. Yndurain, *Z. Phys.* **C42** (1989) 653.
11. V. Elias and M.D. Scadron *Phys. Rev.* **D30** (1984) 647; L.J. Reinders and K. Stam, *Phys. Lett.* **B180** (1986) 125; M.R. Ahmady, V. Elias, R.R. Mendel, M.D. Scadron and T.G. Steele, *Phys. Rev.* **D39** (1989) 2764.
12. V. Elias and T.G. Steele *Phys. Rev.* **D40** (1989) 2669; M. Lavelle and M. Schaden, in *Physical and Nonstandard Gauges*, P. Gaigg, W. Kummer and M. Schweda, eds. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990) p. 240.
13. T.G. Steele, *Z. Phys.* **C42** (1989) 499.
14. M.R. Ahmady, A.S. Deakin, Mong Tong and V. Elias *Z. Phys.* **C56** (1992) 395.
15. E. Bagan, M.R. Ahmady, V. Elias and T.G. Steele, submitted to *Phys. Rev.***D.**
16. I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series and Products* (Academic Press, Orlando, 1980) 712 [Eq. 6.623.3].

Figure Captions

Figure 1: Purely perturbative t-quark contribution to the s-d transition amplitude.

Figure 2: $\langle \bar{t}t \rangle$ contribution to the s-d transition amplitude.